Missouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education District Technology Plan Review/Approval

Following is the request form and scoring guide used to review district technology plans. To request approval review, complete the top portion of this form, attach it to the district's technology plan, and mail it to Instructional Technology, Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, PO Box 480, Jefferson City, MO 65102-0480. For additional information and assistance, contact Instructional Technology staff at 573-751-8247 or visit the web at http://dese.mo.gov/divimprove/instrtech/techplan/gettingstarted.htm.

| REQUEST FOR TECH | NOLOGY PLAN REVI | EW – To be completed b | by district contact | Submission Date |
|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| District Name: | | County/Di | strict Code: | Date of Local Board Approval// |
| District Address: | | | | District Enrollment |
| Contact Person: | | | Teleph | none: () |
| Fax: () | E- | Mail Address: | | |
| | | | | |
| READER SCORING - | To be completed by r | eader Number | | |
| Total score: | Exemplary plan overa | II: Yes No | Library Media Center inc | eluded in plan; |
| | | | | |
| STATE APPROVAL - | To be completed by the | he Department (See sco | ring notes below) | |
| 1. Approved for E-rate: | Yes No | Date: | | Signature: |
| 2. Approved for Title II | D: Yes No | Approval Date: | Plan expiration date: | |

- 1. For a district technology plan to receive state approval for the e-rate discount program, the plan must receive a total of at least 60 points.
- 2. To meet Title IID program standards and updated Missouri Education Technology Strategic Plan goals, a district technology plan must score at least 75 points, with no score point below three (3). All district plans must meet Title II D and Missouri standards by June 30, 2003.

Technology Plan Scoring Guide

INTRODUCTION: Help orient the reader to the district by providing a brief description of the district in terms of its size/enrollment, geographic location, socioeconomic setting, community resources, and such. Include an overview of the plan's format.

TECHNOLOGY PLANNING COMMITTEE: Detail the development and work of the district's technology committee. Membership of the committee should be <u>representative</u> of students, teachers, administrators, technical support staff, parents, and community/business leaders. Representation should be <u>equitable</u>, and <u>appropriate</u> to address the five Technology Focus Areas (TFAs): 1) Student learning as it relates to the Show Me Standards, including technology skills, 2) Teacher preparation and delivery of instruction, 3) Administration/data management/communication processes, 4) Resource distribution and use, and 5) Technical support.

| Needs Revision (1-2 points) | Adequate (required) (3-4 points) | Commendable (5 points) | Score |
|--------------------------------|---|--|-------|
| Committee list is | Committee list: | Committee list: | |
| missing or inadequate | identifies membership groups | identifies membership groups | |
| to determine | includes most membership groups | □ includes all membership groups | |
| representation. | □ is appropriate in size | □ is appropriate in size | |
| | | □ has equitable representation | |
| See note *. | | □ provides TFA links | |

^{*}Revision Note:

DISTRICT EDUCATION TECHNOLOGY MISSION STATEMENT: Envision the future and develop a mission statement that aligns with the district's other existing mission statements and addresses the <u>impact of technology on the district's teaching and learning goals</u> (Comprehensive School Improvement Plan).

| Needs Revision | Adequate (required) | Commendable | Score |
|--|--|-------------------------------|-------|
| (1-2 points) | (3-4 points) | (5 points) | |
| Technology mission statement is missing or inadequate to determine the technology focus of the district. | Technology mission statement: focuses on integration and student achievement reflects district mission statement reflects district CSIP goals | Technology mission statement: | |
| See note*. | | | |

^{*}Revision Note:

CURRENT STATUS – COMPILING RAW DATA: List and briefly describe the <u>comprehensive and appropriate data</u> (sufficient in size and scope) that were used to examine the current status of the five Technology Focus Areas. Indicate how the data helped to identify strengths and weaknesses for each of the TFAs and guide the development of objectives and action plans.

| Needs Revision (1-2 points) | Adequate (3-4 points) | Commendable (5 points) | Score |
|---|--|---|-------|
| Data are missing or inadequate to address: student learning as it relates to the Show-Me Standards teacher preparation and delivery of instruction resource distribution and use | Data include at least three of the following: standardized assessments local assessments surveys policies and procedures student standards Census of Technology (COT) | A variety of valid and reliable sources are collected to identify the strengths and weaknesses of district and building level learning. Check sources included. standardized assessments (MAP, ITBS, etc.) local assessments (pre/post-tests, scoring guides/rubrics, checklists, etc.) surveys (Census of Technology, Profiler, teacher and student surveys, etc.) policies and procedures (equity of resources, copyright, AUP, licensing, CIPA, filtering, web page development, computer donations, security, etc.) student and teacher standards (curriculum/technology, NETS, Show-Me, etc.) training data, trainer evaluations, training outcomes, etc. administrative networking tools (fiscal management, purchasing and budget management systems, etc.) data management tools (student information systems, grade books, attendance, etc.) communication tools (e-mail, Internet, Intranet, etc.) | |
| See note *. | | total cost of ownership analysis (hardware maintenance, lease/rent, repair, etc.) | |

^{*}Revision Note:

GOAL(S): Establish goals that provide <u>direction for the district's use of educational technology to improve, first and foremost, teaching and <u>learning</u>. Goals are broad statements of the purpose of the plan and are linked to comprehensive improvement plans. Goals should address all five of the TFAs. (It is possible for a goal to cover multiple TFAs.)</u>

| Needs Revision (1-2 points) | Adequate (3-4 points) | Commendable (5 points) | Score |
|--------------------------------|---|--|-------|
| Goal(s) are missing | Goal(s) address: | Goal(s) address: | |
| or inadequate to | mission statement and district CSIP goals | mission statement and district CSIP goals | |
| determine the | □ student learning | □ identified needs/weaknesses | |
| direction of the plan. | teacher preparation and delivery of | student learning | |
| · | instruction | teacher preparation and delivery of instruction | |
| | | administration/data management/communication processes | |
| | | □ resource distribution and use | |
| See note*. | | technical support | |

^{*}Revision Note:

STUDENT LEARNING TFA -- DATA ANALYSIS, OBJECTIVES, AND ACTION PLANS

Student Data Analysis: Appropriate data and information are used to identify the strengths and weaknesses of student learning as it relates to the Show-Me Standards, including technology skills.

| Needs Revision (1-2 points) | Adequate (3-4 points) | Commendable (5 points) | Score |
|---|--|---|-------|
| Strengths and weaknesses for student learning as they relate to the Show-Me Standards and technology are missing or inadequate. | Valid and reliable sources were analyzed to identify and list strengths and weaknesses. Strengths and weaknesses have been identified at the district and building levels as they relate to: student learning as it relates to the Show-Me Standards and technology. | Valid and reliable sources were analyzed to identify and list strengths and weaknesses. The relationship between technology and those strengths and weaknesses are clearly summarized as they relate to: student learning as it relates to the Show-Me Standards and technology curriculum/instruction access and use policies and procedures CSIP/MSIP | |

^{*}Revision Note:

Student Learning Objectives: Objectives (milestones/measures of accomplishments) support the goal(s) of the plan and address student learning.

| Needs Revision | Adequate (2.4 mainte) | Commendable | Score |
|-----------------|---|--|-------|
| (1-2 points) | (3-4 points) | (5 points) | |
| Objectives are | Objectives: | Objectives: | |
| missing or are | relate to stated goal(s) | □ relate to stated goal(s) | |
| inadequate to | address | □ address | |
| measure student | what progress is expected | what progress is expected | |
| learning | how the progress will be | how the progress will be measured | |
| | measured | □ are attainable and realistic | |
| | are attainable and realistic | will benefit student learning | |
| | will benefit student learning | address weaknesses identified in section D1 (Analyze | |
| | | Data/Student learning) | |
| See note*. | | address various learner needs | |

^{*}Revision Note:

Student Learning Plans: Action plans and implementation strategies indicate technology's role in achieving high student achievement and performance, related to the Show-Me Standards, including technology skills.

| Needs Revision | Adequate (3-4 points) | Commendable (5 points) | Score |
|------------------------|--|---|-------|
| (1-2 points) | , , | | |
| Action Plan is missing | Action Plan details: | Action Plan details: | |
| or inadequate to | objective | □ goal | |
| determine the effect | action step/activity | objective | |
| of technology on | timeline/completion date | CSIP and MSIP standards | |
| student learning. | | action step/activity | |
| | | timeline/completion date | |
| | | review dates | |
| | | correction strategies | |
| See note*. | | person responsible | |

^{*}Revision Note:

TEACHER PREPARATION TFA -- DATA ANALYSIS, OBJECTIVES, AND ACTION PLANS

Teacher Data Analysis: Appropriate data and information are used to identify the strengths and weaknesses of teacher preparation and delivery of instruction.

| Needs Revision (1-2 points) | Adequate (3-4 points) | Commendable (5 points) | Score |
|---|--|--|-------|
| Strengths and weaknesses for teacher preparation as it relates to technology are missing or inadequate. See note*. | Valid and reliable sources were analyzed to identify and list strengths and weaknesses. Strengths and weaknesses have been identified at the district and building levels as they relate to: □ teacher preparation and delivery of instruction | Valid and reliable sources were analyzed to identify and list strengths and weaknesses. The relationship between technology and those strengths and weaknesses are clearly summarized as they relate to: teacher preparation and delivery of instruction access and use policies and procedures CSIP/MSIP | |

^{*}Revision Note:

Teacher Objectives: Objectives (milestones/measures of accomplishments) support the goal(s)of the plan and address teacher professional development.

| Needs Revision (1-2 points) | Adequate (3-4 points) | Commendable (5 points) | Score |
|--------------------------------|--|--|-------|
| Objectives are | Objectives: | Objectives: | |
| missing or inadequate | □ relate to stated goal(s) | □ relate to stated goal(s) | |
| to measure teacher | □ address | □ address | |
| preparation and | what progress is expected | what progress is expected | |
| delivery of instruction. | how the progress will be | how the progress will be measured | |
| • | measured | are attainable and realistic | |
| | are attainable and realistic | will benefit teacher preparation and delivery of instruction | |
| | will benefit teacher preparation and | correspond to the planned timeline | |
| | delivery of instruction | address weaknesses identified in section D2 (Analyze | |
| | correspond to the planned timeline | Data/Teacher preparation and delivery of instruction) | |
| | ' | □ address various teacher needs (disciplines, grade levels, | |
| See note*. | | technology integration, uses of resources, etc.) | |

^{*}Revision Note:

Teacher Preparation Plans: Action plans and implementation strategies promote preparing teachers to integrate technology into curriculum and instructional practices.

| Needs Revision | Adequate | Commendable | Score |
|------------------------|---|---|-------|
| (1-2 points) | (3-4 points) | (5 points) | |
| Action Plan is missing | Action Plan details: | Action Plan details: | |
| or inadequate to | objective | □ goal | |
| determine the effect | □ action step/activity | □ objective | |
| of technology on | timeline/completion date | CSIP and MSIP standards | |
| teacher preparation | estimated cost/budget | action step/activity | |
| and delivery of | funding source | □ timeline/completion date | |
| instruction. | | □ review dates | |
| | | correction strategies | |
| | | person responsible | |
| | | estimated cost/budget | |
| See note*. | | funding source | |

^{*}Revision Note:

ADMINISTRATION TFA -- DATA ANALYSIS, OBJECTIVES, AND ACTION PLANS

Administration, Management & Communications Data Analysis: Appropriate data and information are used to identify the strengths and weaknesses of the district's use of technology to support administration, data management, and communication processes.

| Needs Revision (1-2 points) | Adequate (3-4 points) | Commendable (5 points) | Score |
|---|--|--|-------|
| Strengths and weaknesses for Administration/data management/ communication processes as it relates to technology are missing or inadequate. | Valid and reliable sources were analyzed to identify and list strengths and weaknesses. Strengths and weaknesses have been identified at the district and building levels as they relate to: administration/data management/ communication processes | Valid and reliable sources were analyzed to identify and list strengths and weaknesses. The relationship between technology and those strengths and weaknesses are clearly summarized as they relate to: administration/data management/ communication processes access and use policies and procedures CSIP/MSIP | |
| See note*. | | | |

^{*}Revision Note:

Administration, Management & Communications Objectives: Objectives (milestones/measures of accomplishments) are established to support the goal(s) of the plan and address the district's use of technology to support school administration, data management, and communications.

| Needs Revision (1-2 points) | Adequate (3-4 points) | Commendable (5 points) | Score |
|---|---|--|-------|
| Objectives are missing or inadequate to measure the administration/data | Objectives: relate to stated goal(s) address what progress is expected | Objectives: relate to stated goal(s) address what progress is expected | |
| management /communication processes. | how the progress will be measured are attainable and realistic will benefit administration/data | how the progress will be measured are attainable and realistic will benefit administration/data management/communication processes | |
| See note*. | management/communication processes . | correspond to the planned timeline address weaknesses identified in section D3 (Analyze Data/administration/data management/communication processes) address various management needs (fiscal, attendance, etc.) | |

^{*}Revision Note:

Administration, Management & Communications Plans: <u>Action plans and implementation</u> strategies indicate technology's role in improving the district's administration, data management, and communication processes.

| Needs Revision | Adequate | Commendable | Score |
|------------------------|---|---|-------|
| (1-2 points) | (3-4 points) | (5 points) | |
| Action Plan is missing | Action Plan details: | Action Plan details: | |
| or inadequate to | objective | □ goal | |
| determine the effect | action step/activity | objective | |
| of technology on | timeline/completion date | CSIP and MSIP standards | |
| administration/data | estimated cost/budget | action step/activity | |
| management/ | funding source | timeline/completion date | |
| communication | | □ review dates | |
| processes. | | correction strategies | |
| | | person responsible | |
| | | estimated cost/budget | |
| See note*. | | funding source | |

^{*}Revision Note:

RESOURCE TFA -- DATA ANALYSIS, OBJECTIVES, AND ACTION PLANS

Resource Data Analysis: Appropriate data and information are used to identify the strengths and weaknesses of the district's access to and use of technology resources.

| Needs Revision (1-2 points) | Adequate (3-4 points) | Commendable (5 points) | Score |
|---|--|--|-------|
| Strengths and weaknesses for resource distribution and use as it relates to technology are missing or inadequate. | Valid and reliable sources were analyzed to identify and list strengths and weaknesses. Strengths and weaknesses have been identified at the district and building levels as they relate to: □ resource distribution and use | Valid and reliable sources were analyzed to identify and list strengths and weaknesses. The relationship between technology and those strengths and weaknesses are clearly summarized as they relate to: resource distribution and use access and use policies and procedures CSIP/MSIP | |
| See note*. | | | |

^{*}Revision Note:

Resource Objectives: Objectives (milestones/measures of accomplishments) are established to support the goal(s) of the plan and address the distribution and use of the district's technology resources.

| Needs Revision (1-2 points) | Adequate (3-4 points) | Commendable (5 points) | Score |
|--------------------------------|--|--|-------|
| Objectives are | Objectives: | Objectives: | |
| missing or inadequate | relate to stated goal(s) | □ relate to stated goal(s) | |
| to measure resource | address | address | |
| distribution and use. | what progress is expected | what progress is expected | |
| | how the progress will be | how the progress will be measured | |
| | measured | are attainable and realistic | |
| | are attainable and realistic | will benefit resource distribution and use | |
| | will benefit resource distribution and use | address student to computer ratio | |
| | | correspond to the planned timeline | |
| | | address weaknesses identified in section D4 (Analyze | |
| | | Data/Resource distribution and use) | |
| | | address various resource distribution and use needs (equity, | |
| See note*. | | standards, surveys, maintenance, repair, etc.) | |

^{*}Revision Note:

Resource Plans: Action plans and implementation strategies support adequate and equitable distribution and use of the district's technology resources.

| Needs Revision (1-2 points) | Adequate (3-4 points) | Commendable (5 points) | Score |
|--|---|--|-------|
| Action Plan is missing or inadequate to determine the effect of resource distribution and use. See note*. | Action Plan details: objective action step/activity timeline/completion date estimated cost/budget funding source | Action Plan details: goal bjective CSIP and MSIP standards action step/activity timeline/completion date review dates correction strategies person responsible estimated cost/budget funding source | |

^{*}Revision Note:

TECHNICAL SUPPORT TFA -- DATA ANALYSIS, OBJECTIVES, AND ACTION PLANS

Technical Support Data Analysis: Appropriate data and information are used to identify the strengths and weaknesses of the district's support of its technology resources.

| Needs Revision | Adequate | Commendable | Score |
|--|--|--|-------|
| (1-2 points) | (3-4 points) | (5 points) | |
| Strengths and weaknesses for technical support as it relates to technology are missing or inadequate. | Valid and reliable sources were analyzed to identify and list strengths and weaknesses. Strengths and weaknesses have been identified at the district and building levels as they relate to: technical support | Valid and reliable sources were analyzed to identify and list strengths and weaknesses. The relationship between technology and those strengths and weaknesses are clearly summarized as they relate to: technical support access and use policies and procedures CSIP/MSIP | |
| See note*. | | | |

^{*}Revision Note:

Technical Support Objectives: Objectives (milestones/measures of accomplishments) serve the goal(s) of the plan and address adequate support of the district's technology resources.

| Needs Revision (1-2 points) | Adequate (3-4 points) | Commendable (5 points) | Score |
|--------------------------------|--|---|-------|
| Objectives are | Objectives: | Objectives: | |
| missing or inadequate | □ relate to stated goal(s) | □ relate to stated goal(s) | |
| to measure technical | □ address | □ address | |
| support. | what progress is expected | what progress is expected | |
| | how the progress will be | how the progress will be measured | |
| | measured | □ are attainable and realistic | |
| | are attainable and realistic | address ratio of technical staff to number of computers | |
| | will benefit technical support | will benefit technical support | |
| | | correspond to the planned timeline | |
| | | address weaknesses identified in section D5 (Analyze | |
| | | Data/Technical support) | |
| | | address various technical support needs (e.g., maintenance, | |
| See note*. | | repair, number of workstations, FTE, service records, etc.) | |

^{*}Revision Note:

Technical Support Plans: Action plans and implementation strategies promote adequate and equitable technical support goal(s) and objectives.

| Needs Revision | Adequate | Commendable | Score |
|------------------------|---|---|-------|
| (1-2 points) | (3-4 points) | (5 points) | |
| Action Plan is missing | Action Plan details: | Action Plan details: | |
| or inadequate to | □ objective | □ goal | |
| determine the effect | □ action step/activity | □ objective | |
| of resource | timeline/completion date | □ CSIP and MSIP standards | |
| distribution and use. | estimated cost/budget | □ action step/activity | |
| | funding source | timeline/completion date | |
| | - | □ review dates | |
| | | □ correction strategies | |
| | | person responsible | |
| | | estimated cost/budget | |
| See note*. | | funding source | |

^{*}Revision Note:

DISSEMINATION, **MONITORING**, **AND EVALUATION**: Address the processes for ongoing <u>communication</u>/ <u>dissemination</u> with community representatives, and stakeholders, for <u>monitoring</u> and adjusting the plan as necessary, and for <u>evaluation</u> of the plan in terms of its effectiveness and validity.

| Needs Revision (1-2 points) | Adequate (3-4 points) | Commendable (5 points) | Score |
|---|--|--|-------|
| The strategies to disseminate, monitor, and evaluate are missing or inadequate to determine the plan's effectiveness. | Plan includes strategies for: Dissemination reports progress Monitoring timely Evaluation uses appropriate assessment tools | Plan includes strategies for: Dissemination reports progress informs all stakeholders and policy makers (board members, legislators, civic leaders, etc.) Monitoring timely analytical ongoing Evaluation timely ongoing | |
| See note*. | | uses appropriate assessment tools | |

^{*}Revision Note: